SWR# <u>31002</u>

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

InterimFinal 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

1 acility	manic.	Reff Filed to Chemital EEE
Facility	Address:	2513 Buchanon Rd. Texarkana, TX
Facility	EPA ID#:	TXDO 57111403
1.	groundwater med	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the ia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units ted Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI
	<u>X</u>	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		Ifno - re-evaluate existing data, or
		ifdata are not available, skip to #8 and enter" IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Facility Name

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action programto go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of contaminated groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e.,

RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	"levels" (i.e., app	known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective blicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, eria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from the facility?
	<u>X</u>	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
		If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
		Ifunknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Re	eference(s): References: RFI reports, 1993 and 2002, Semi-annual Groundwater reports.

Footnotes:

¹" Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

	xpected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring ocations designated at the time of this determination)?			
10 00010110 000181	,			
	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwasampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of "existing area of groundwater contamination".			
	Ifno (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the			
	designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.			
	Ifunknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.			
Pational and P	eference(s): <u>Sampling, installation and operation or recovery trenches, barriers and we</u>			
Rationale based				
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			
	upon potentiometric maps and analysis of surface and groundwater. References: RFI			

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies? 4. Yes If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies. If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies. Ifunknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code. Rationale and Reference(s): First half of 2002 Semi-Annual Report, dated July 18, 2002, indicates that Phenol is present in Days Creek.

Page 5

5.	Is the discharge	of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the			
	maximum concent	ration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their			
		ndwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of			
		discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for			
		The state of the s			
	unacceptable imp	acts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?			
•	1 7	IC 1: 4. 7 (1 4 VE? 1 0 0 1 0 1			
	<u>Yes</u>	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1)			
		the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of key contaminants			
		discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if			
		there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of			
		professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the			
		discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have			
		unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.			
		unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, seaments, or eco-system			
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially			
		significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably			
		suspected concentration ³ of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level,"			
		the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are			
		increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations ³			
		greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount			
		(mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the			
		surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that			
		the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.			
		IC 1			
		Ifunknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.			
	D-4111-D-	C(.) First 1-1C (2002 C / I.B / 1-1-1-1-10 2002			
	Rationale and Re	ference(s): First half of 2002 Semi-Annual Report, dated July 18, 2002.			

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Can the discharg	e of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently
acceptable" (i.e.,	not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed
to continue until	a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?
	If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating thes
	conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface
	water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation
	demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR
	2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for
	impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (
	the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiv
	surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and
	final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-
	assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging
	groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and
	contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination,
	surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate
	surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on
	ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Ris
	Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making
	the EI determination.
	Ifno - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently
	acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently
	unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
	Ifunknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
Pationala and Da	ference(s):
Rationale and Re	Microc(5)

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Page 7

Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as 7. necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?" If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination." Ifno - enter "NO" status code in #8. Ifunknown - enter "IN" status code in #8. Rationale and Reference(s): Permit and compliance plans require semi-annual monitoring reports with gw and surface water sampling etc.

8.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control				
	EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI				
	determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).				
	X YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been				
	verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI				
	determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated				
	Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC facility,				
	EPA ID # TXDO 57111403, located at 2513 Buchanon Rd. Texarkana,				
	<u>TX</u> under current and reasonably expected conditions. Specifically, this				
	determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is				
	under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that				
	contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated				
	groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency				
	becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.				
	NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.				
	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.				
	Completed by Date March 20, 200:	2			
	Dylan Lawson Dylan Lawson	<u> </u>			
	Project Manager				
	1 Toject Manager				
	Supervisor Date				
	Jason Wang	_			
	Team Leader				
	EPA Region 6, (Texas)				
	Locations where References may be found:				
	Attach a copy of this facility's database printout. Highlight the reports which				
	support the "YE" determination. TCEQ Central Records, 12100 Park 35 Circle,				
	Building E, First Floor, Austin, TX 78753				
	Contact telephone and e-mail numbers				
	(name)Dylan Lawson				
	(phone #) <u>(512)-239-0507</u>				
	(e-mail) dlawson@tceq.state.tx.us				

Final Note: The purpose of the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater EI is to verify that the groundwater plume is stable. A "YE" determination does not constitute a screening tool to end the corrective action process. The "YE" determination may be changed at any time as new information becomes available.